






## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Cryopreservation of sperm in annual fish *Austrolebias minuano* (Cyprinodontiformes; Rivulidae)

Murilo de Oliveira Fernandes<sup>1,2</sup>  | Daiana Kaster Garcez<sup>1,2</sup>  | Izani Bonel Acosta<sup>2,3</sup> | Stela Mari Meneghello Gheller<sup>2,3</sup> | Carine Dahl Corcini<sup>2,3</sup>  | Lizandra Jaqueline Robe<sup>1,4</sup>  | Antonio Sergio Varela Junior<sup>2,3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais (PPGBAC), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG), Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Reprodução Animal Comparada, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG), Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Centro de Pesquisa e Educação em Reprodução Animal, Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Pelotas (UFPEL), Pelotas, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Ecologia e Evolução (DEE), Centro de Ciências Naturais e Exatas (CCNE), Universidade Federal de Santa Maria-UFSM, Santa Maria, Brazil

## Correspondence

Murilo de Oliveira Fernandes, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais (PPGBAC), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande (FURG), Rio Grande 96203-000, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.  
Email: murilo\_fernan@hotmail.com

## Funding information

Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior; Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul, Grant/Award Number: 306356, 2014-7, 307195 and 2015-7; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico; Fundação Grupo Boticário de Proteção à Natureza, Grant/Award Number: 55651-1, 23116, 008163, 2015-23 and 001; Ministério do Meio Ambiente; Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel

## Abstract

The effects of the cryoprotectants dimethyl sulfoxide, glycerol and methyl glycol at different concentrations on *Austrolebias minuano* sperm quality parameters were evaluated in this study. The cellular kinetic parameters, determined using flow cytometry, indicated the best results with the samples cryopreserved with 7.5% methyl glycol. Dimethyl sulfoxide concentrations of 7.5% and glycerol concentrations of 10%, 12.5% and 15% demonstrated the least benefit across all evaluated sperm kinetic parameters. When assessed using flow cytometry, a concentration of 7.5% methyl glycol similarly showed better sperm kinetic parameters than 12.5% dimethyl sulfoxide. We conclude that cryoprotectants, especially methyl glycol, are effective for the preservation of sperm quality in *A. minuano*. However, high sensitivity of spermatozoa against glycerol was observed in these studies; thus, it is not recommended for cryopreservation purposes.

## KEYWORDS

cryoprotectants, extinction, killifish, preservation

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Membership of the Fundação Grupo Boticário de Proteção à Natureza is provided in the Acknowledgments.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Approximately 30% of the ichthyofauna threatened in Brazil are represented by annual fish of the Rivulidae family (ICMBio, 2012). Drastic loss and fragmentation of ephemeral aquatic habitats particularly threaten this group (Bonistawska, Szulc, & Formicki, 2015). Their small isolated populations make this group prone to higher rates of genetic drift and accelerated evolution and increase the frequency of allopatric speciation events (Beheregaray, Attard, Brauer, & Hammer, 2016). These findings highlight the need for immediate conservation steps to preserve the threatened lineages of this group.

According to the IUCN Red Data Book (2018), the annual fish *Austrolebias minuano* (Costa & Cheffe, 2001) is threatened for extinction in Brazil. This species belongs to the group *A. adloffii*, which can be distinguished by its body size and colour patterns characteristic of the species. *A. minuano* presents a relatively wide distribution taking into account the distribution perspectives of annual fish, occurring in ponds of the Patos Mirim lagoon system (IUCN Red Data Book, 2018). Being an opportunistic feeder, it plays a particularly important role in balancing the food chains of wetland ecosystems (Volcan, Fonseca, & Robaldo, 2011).

Ex-situ conservation strategies like germplasm banks allow for at least partial preservation of the genetic heritage of endangered species (Figuerola et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016). Genetic resources like cryopreserved spermatozoa and oocytes allow for the reintroduction of endangered species into their natural habitats, thus, avoiding loss of biodiversity (Cabrita et al., 2010; Machado et al., 2016).

However, given the variation in the biochemical composition of sperm, individualized species-specific cryopreservation protocols are necessary for each species (Viveiros et al., 2014). An efficient

and practical cryopreservation protocol for sperm needs to take into account and standardize factors like type and concentration of cryoprotectants, diluents, osmolarity, freezing and thawing temperatures of spermatozoa and duration of each processing step. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), glycerol and methyl glycol (MG) are common intracellular cryoprotectants used for cryopreservation of fish spermatozoa (Viveiros et al., 2012). Indeed, alcohol-based cryoprotectants are widely used for cryopreservation of sperm from freshwater fish: Piracanjuba (*Brycon orbignyanus*; Perry et al., 2019), Tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*; Garcia et al., 2015; Varela Junior et al., 2012), Curimba (*Prochilodus lineatus*; Miliorini et al., 2011; Viveiros et al., 2014), Pacu (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*; Pires et al., 2018).

DMSO shows cryopreservative capabilities in freezing of fish semen due to its high solubility in an aqueous medium, easily penetrating the plasma membrane, and engaging the free hydroxyl groups to inhibit the formation of reactive oxygen species (Viveiros et al., 2014). Glycerol is another common agent used for cryopreservation of male gametes (Ahn, Park, & Lim, 2018), although certain factors, such as like its high molecular weight, viscosity and impermeability, make it less favourable for use as a cryoprotectant (Miliorini et al., 2011). A number of studies indicate that MG is an ideal cryoprotectant for fish spermatozoa, based on investigations in *Brycon insignis* (Viveiros et al., 2011), *Piaractus brachypomus* (Ramirez-Merlano, Velasco-Santamaría, Medina-Robles, & Cruz-Casallas, 2011), *Piaractus mesopotamicus* and *Piaractus lineatus* (Viveiros et al., 2014), *Colossoma macropomum* (Carneiro, Azevedo, Santos, & Maria, 2012) and *Steindachneridion scriptum* (Ribeiro et al., 2019).

The present study was aimed to generate the first standardized protocol for the cryopreservation of spermatozoa from *A. minuano* using three different cryoprotectants and evaluate the feasibility of this technique for the preservation of this endangered species.

## 2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Animals and semen collection

Immersion nets were used to capture adult males of *A. minuano* (Figure 1) from a previously reported type locality of the species at the Ilha do Leonídio (52° 22'79.49"S and 32° 05'04.78"W; Costa, 2006), Rio Grande, RS, Brazil. To avoid anaesthetics affecting the results, animals were euthanized by spinal cord transection (Resolution n° 1000, of 05/2012).

Gonads from three males were pooled together to make up 15 samples, totalling 45 animals. Semen was diluted in Beltsville Thawing Solution (BTS) at pH 7.2 and osmolarity 380 mOsm/L in a 1:9 volume/volume (v/v) ratio. Motility was estimated with the help of Computer-Assisted Sperm Analysis (CASA; Dziewulska, Rzemieniecki, Czerniawski, & Domagała, 2011) by diluting 1 µl of semen in 4 µl of water from the fish's natural habitats. Motility of sperm was only seen 10 s post-activation, and all samples exhibited ≥80% motility without contamination by urine or faeces.



**FIGURE 1** Species of *Austrolebias minuano*, withdrawal from the type locality Ilha do Leonídio, state of Rio Grande do Sul—Brazil. The male of the species (a) is characterized by pairs of dark lines in its body and the female (b) for its smooth lines in the body

### 2.1.1 | Experimental design

Freezing was carried out on the 15 pools in 15 treatments each per pool using DMSO, MG and glycerol in concentrations of 5%, 7.5%, 10%, 12.5% and 15%. BTS extender solution was used for all preparations (Varela Junior et al., 2012) at a pH of 7.2 and osmolarity of 380 mOsm/L. Aliquots of 250- $\mu$ l were made, sealed with polyvinyl alcohol and cooled in metal racks at 5°C for 20 min. After this, a 12-hr stay in a liquid nitrogen shipper (Taylor Wharton, Model CP 300) was maintained and subsequently transferred to a larger liquid nitrogen storage unit (MVE, Model CP-34) for 45 days prior to thawing and analysis of the samples.

### 2.2 | Analysis of sperm kinetics

The activation of spermatozoa was carried out by dilution with puddle water (filtered with Millipore syringe filters, Millex® JBR610021/0.22  $\mu$ m) in a 1:4 ratio and the parameters recorded by CASA. Ten fields with a minimum of 1,000 cells were captured. Certain parameters, such as total motility (MT, %), progressive motility (MP, %), average distance travelled (DAP,  $\mu$ m), curvilinear distance (DCL,  $\mu$ m), average path velocity (VAP, %), rectilinearity (STR, %), linearity (LIN, %), oscillation (WOB), head lateral displacement (ALH,  $\mu$ m) and cross flagellar beating frequency (BCF, Hz), were determined. The duration of motility was considered from the moment of activation until the progressive movement stopped in the spermatozoa (Varela Junior et al., 2015).

### 2.3 | Flow cytometry analyses

An Attune Acoustic Focusing® cytometer version 2.1 (Life Technologies) equipped with a blue (Argon 488 nm) and violet laser (UV 405 nm), with a VL-1 450/40 filter was used for flow analysis. The cells were stained with a 16.2 mM solution of Hoechst 33342, except for DNA fragmentation (Martinez-Alborcia et al., 2012). The selection of sperm cell population was done by filtering out non-sperm cells based on FSC  $\times$  SSC and Hoechst-negative scatter plots. All flow readings were taken by resuspending cells in calcium-free phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 80 g NaCl, 11.5 g KCl, 24 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in 1 L deionized water) using a minimum of 10,000 spermatozoa per analysis.

#### 2.3.1 | Mitochondrial functionality

Mitochondrial functionality was assayed after staining 10  $\mu$ l of the thawed sample with 3.1  $\mu$ M Rhodamine and 7.5  $\mu$ M Propidium Iodide (PI) for 10 min. Intact spermatozoa were gated using PI negativity, after which they could be classified into high or low mitochondrial membrane potential based on either high or low Rhodamine accumulation respectively (Liu et al., 2015). Mitochondrial functionality rate was calculated by the formula: [Number of High Rhodamine-positive events/Total number of Rhodamine-positive events]  $\times$  100 (Alves et al., 2016).

#### 2.3.2 | Membrane functionality and Cellular disruption

Aliquots of thawed semen were incubated for 5 min with fluorescent probes containing 0.25  $\mu$ M Sybr14 and 7.5  $\mu$ M PI (Minitube, Tiefenbach, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Spermatozoa with functional membranes were gated as Sybr14+/PI-; the other populations (Sybr14+/PI+, Sybr14-/PI+, Sybr14-/PI-) were classified as lesions (Figuroa et al., 2015). Cellular rupture status was verified as the percentage of cellular rupture, with PI- cells classified as intact and PI+ cells classified as ruptured.

#### 2.3.3 | Membrane fluidity

Ten (10)  $\mu$ L of the thawed sample was stained with 2.7  $\mu$ M of a hydrophobic merocyanine dye 540 (M540) and 0.1  $\mu$ M of YO-PRO-1 (Invitrogen-Eugene) for 5 min. High M540-positivity (high membrane fluidity) and low M540-positivity (low membrane fluidity) were evaluated only for intact spermatozoa (YO-PRO-1 negative; Fernández-Gago, Domínguez, & Martínez-Pastor, 2013). Membrane fluidity rate was defined as: [Number of high M540-positive events/Total number of M540-positive events]  $\times$  100.

#### 2.3.4 | DNA fragmentation index

The sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA) was used to evaluate DNA integrity. A 30-s incubation of 10  $\mu$ l thawed spermatozoa diluted in 5  $\mu$ l of TNE (0.01 M Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.001 M disodium EDTA, pH 7.2) with addition of 10  $\mu$ l of 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 was performed, immediately following which, acridine orange dye was added and flow cytometer reading taken within 2 min. Spermatozoa were classified as intact (green) or fragmented (orange/red; Jenkins, Draugelis-Dale, Pinkney, Iwanowicz, & Blazer, 2015) with the rate of DNA fragmentation calculated as: [Number of spermatozoa with fragmented DNA/(Number of spermatozoa with intact DNA + Number of spermatozoa with fragmented DNA)]  $\times$  100.

#### 2.3.5 | Concentration of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

ROS levels in sperm cells were analysed by measuring the median intensity of green fluorescence after staining with 1.0  $\mu$ M of 2'7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA) and gating for live spermatozoa (PI+, 7.5  $\mu$ M) (Domínguez-Rebolledo et al., 2011).

#### 2.3.6 | Lipid peroxidation (LPO)

Spermatozoa shortly after thawing were incubated for 2 hr at room temperature (20°C) with 1  $\mu$ M BODIPY® C11 stain for assessing lipid peroxidation (Hagedorn, McCarthy, Carter, & Meyers, 2012) in 10  $\mu$ l of the sample, and live spermatozoa were analysed.

**TABLE 1** Analyses of total motility, progressive motility, mean distance travelled, curvilinear distance, rectilinear distance, lateral head displacement and frequency of cross flagellar beating of *Austrolebias minuano* (Costa & Cheffe, 2001), with dimethylsulphoxide, glycerol and methyl glycol alcohols at different concentrations (mean  $\pm$  standard error)

Spermatic kinetics							
Treatments (%)	MT	MP	DAP	DCL	DSL	ALH	BCF
DMSO 5	8.41 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>bcdef</sup>	4.64 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>cd</sup>	5.69 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>efg</sup>	7.46 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>de</sup>	4.41 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>ef</sup>	0.88 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>abcde</sup>	10.71 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>def</sup>
DMSO 7.5	7.22 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>def</sup>	3.22 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>d</sup>	3.96 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>ghij</sup>	5.38 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>efg</sup>	3.30 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>fgh</sup>	0.69 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>cdefg</sup>	8.32 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>fg</sup>
DMSO 10	11.13 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>ab</sup>	7.27 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>b</sup>	7.81 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>bcd</sup>	10.86 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>bc</sup>	6.20 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>bc</sup>	0.81 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>bcdef</sup>	13.61 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>bcd</sup>
DMSO 12.5	7.36 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>bcdef</sup>	3.55 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>d</sup>	5.20 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>fgh</sup>	7.44 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>de</sup>	3.66 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>fg</sup>	0.65 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>defg</sup>	10.83 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>def</sup>
DMSO 15	9.94 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>abcd</sup>	5.77 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>bc</sup>	6.05 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>def</sup>	8.81 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>cd</sup>	4.48 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>def</sup>	0.85 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	10.06 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>ef</sup>
GLY 5	5.86 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>f</sup>	3.48 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>d</sup>	4.91 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>fghi</sup>	6.83 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>def</sup>	3.80 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>fg</sup>	0.63 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>defg</sup>	11.03 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>cdef</sup>
GLY 7.5	8.73 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>bcde</sup>	4.74 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>cd</sup>	7.20 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>cde</sup>	10.24 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>bc</sup>	5.89 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>	12.12 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>bcde</sup>
GLY 10	5.97 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>ef</sup>	2.80 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>d</sup>	3.38 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>ij</sup>	4.54 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>fg</sup>	2.61 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>gh</sup>	0.61 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>efg</sup>	8.37 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>fg</sup>
GLY 12.5	6.20 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>def</sup>	3.20 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>d</sup>	3.51 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>hij</sup>	4.87 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>fg</sup>	2.63 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>gh</sup>	0.66 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>def</sup>	6.43 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>g</sup>
GLY 15	6.46 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>def</sup>	2.69 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>d</sup>	2.71 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>j</sup>	4.01 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>g</sup>	2.01 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>h</sup>	0.60 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>fg</sup>	6.37 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>g</sup>
MG 5	7.97 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>cdef</sup>	4.23 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>cd</sup>	4.41 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>fghij</sup>	5.88 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>efg</sup>	3.69 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>g</sup>	0.53 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>g</sup>	8.56 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>fg</sup>
MG 7.5	14.45 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>a</sup>	9.62 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	10.28 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	14.63 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	7.84 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	1.11 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	16.86 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>a</sup>
MG 10	11.42 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>ab</sup>	7.43 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>b</sup>	9.55 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>ab</sup>	14.96 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	6.96 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>ab</sup>	1.05 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>	17.10 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>a</sup>
MG 12.5	11.24 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>ab</sup>	6.85 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>b</sup>	7.14 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>cde</sup>	10.58 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>bc</sup>	5.31 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>cde</sup>	0.90 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>abcd</sup>	14.06 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>abc</sup>
MG 15	10.91 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>abcd</sup>	7.36 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>b</sup>	8.04 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>bc</sup>	11.58 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>b</sup>	5.99 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>bc</sup>	0.94 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>abc</sup>	14.96 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>ab</sup>

Abbreviations: ALH, lateral head displacement; BCF, frequency of cross flagellar beating; DAP, mean distance travelled; DCL, curvilinear distance; DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; DSL, rectilinear distance; GLY, glycerol; MT, total motility; MP, progressive motility; MG, methyl glycol. Different letters indicate differences ( $p < .05$ ).

**TABLE 2** Analyses of average path velocity, curvilinear velocity, straightness, linearity and oscillation of *Austrolebias minuano* (Costa & Cheffe, 2001), with dimethylsulphoxide, glycerol and methyl glycol alcohols at different concentrations (mean  $\pm$  standard error)

Spermatic kinetics					
Treatments (%)	VAP	VCL	STR	LIN	WOB
DMSO 5	13.34 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>def</sup>	17.72 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>cd</sup>	0.29 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>cde</sup>	0.23 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>cde</sup>	0.29 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>
DMSO 7.5	9.35 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>fgh</sup>	12.89 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>def</sup>	0.23 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.17 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.21 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>fg</sup>
DMSO 10	17.18 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>bcd</sup>	23.77 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.41 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.30 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.38 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>abc</sup>
DMSO 12.5	11.57 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>efg</sup>	16.66 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>cde</sup>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.20 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.28 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>def</sup>
DMSO 15	13.86 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>cde</sup>	20.35 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>bc</sup>	0.32 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>cd</sup>	0.23 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>cde</sup>	0.31 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>cd</sup>
GLY 5	10.78 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>efg</sup>	15.10 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>cdef</sup>	0.27 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.20 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>def</sup>
GLY 7.5	16.89 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>bcd</sup>	23.83 $\pm$ 2.99 <sup>d</sup>	0.37 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>bc</sup>	0.26 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.32 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>bcd</sup>
GLY 10	8.31 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>gh</sup>	11.26 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>ef</sup>	0.23 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.22 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>efg</sup>
GLY 12.5	8.45 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>gh</sup>	11.73 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>ef</sup>	0.22 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.17 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ef</sup>	0.22 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>efg</sup>
GLY 15	6.53 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>h</sup>	9.64 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>f</sup>	0.17 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>f</sup>	0.12 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>f</sup>	0.16 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>g</sup>
MG 5	9.86 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>efgh</sup>	13.12 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>def</sup>	0.27 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.21 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>de</sup>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>def</sup>
MG 7.5	22.97 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>a</sup>	32.55 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.47 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.44 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>
MG 10	20.79 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>ab</sup>	32.56 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.43 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.29 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>abc</sup>	0.39 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>
MG 12.5	15.81 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>cd</sup>	23.50 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.30 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.39 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>
MG 15	17.86 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>bc</sup>	25.74 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.33 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.43 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>

Abbreviations: DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; GLY, glycerol; MG, methyl glycol; LIN, linearity; STR, straightness; VAP, Path velocity; VCL, curvilinear velocity; WOB, oscillation. Different letters indicate differences ( $p < .05$ ).

Lipid peroxidation rate was calculated as follows: [Median red fluorescence intensity (non-peroxidized lipid)]/[Median green fluorescence intensity (peroxidized lipid) + Median red fluorescence intensity]  $\times$  100.

## 2.4 | Statistical analyses

The Shapiro–Wilk test for normality was carried out for the data, followed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's post hoc

**TABLE 3** Analyses of mitochondrial functionality, membrane functionality, cellular disruption, fluidity membrane, DNA fragmentation index, concentration of reactive oxygen species and lipid peroxidation of *Austrolebias minuano* (Costa & Cheffe, 2001), with dimethylsulphoxide, glycerol and methyl glycol alcohols at different concentrations (mean  $\pm$  standard error)

Flow cytometry							
Treatments (%)	MIT	MFU	CD	MFL	DNA	ROS	LPO
DMSO 5	84.71 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>abc</sup>	72.92 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>def</sup>	29.95 $\pm$ 3.1 <sup>abc</sup>	45.64 $\pm$ 2.8 <sup>bc</sup>	4.62 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>bcd</sup>	4,561.0 $\pm$ 747.91 <sup>def</sup>	15.17 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>abc</sup>
DMSO 7.5	81.66 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>c</sup>	81.26 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>abc</sup>	31.08 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>ab</sup>	48.10 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>bc</sup>	4.25 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>de</sup>	5,121.8 $\pm$ 569.33 <sup>def</sup>	15.74 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>ab</sup>
DMSO 10	87.93 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>ab</sup>	76.46 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>bcde</sup>	21.30 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	34.11 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>d</sup>	4.32 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>de</sup>	5,351.3 $\pm$ 971.40 <sup>def</sup>	13.70 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>abcd</sup>
DMSO 12.5	88.46 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	63.33 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>g</sup>	23.58 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>def</sup>	47.81 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>bc</sup>	4.98 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>	11,813 $\pm$ 3,914.6 <sup>ab</sup>	15.43 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>ab</sup>
DMSO 15	86.13 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>abc</sup>	69.26 $\pm$ 3.4 <sup>fg</sup>	25.16 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>cdef</sup>	59.94 $\pm$ 3.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.66 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>bcd</sup>	6,389.9 $\pm$ 924.09 <sup>def</sup>	12.72 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>bcde</sup>
GLY 5	84.60 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>abc</sup>	81.06 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>abc</sup>	21.73 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>ef</sup>	42.00 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>cd</sup>	4.55 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>bcd</sup>	5,299.6 $\pm$ 916.60 <sup>def</sup>	11.68 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>cde</sup>
GLY 7.5	87.20 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>abc</sup>	77.53 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>abcd</sup>	23.45 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>def</sup>	50.48 $\pm$ 3.1 <sup>abc</sup>	4.41 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>cde</sup>	11,533 $\pm$ 1643.9 <sup>abc</sup>	17.03 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>a</sup>
GLY 10	85.46 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>abc</sup>	70.60 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>ef</sup>	26.19 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	49.72 $\pm$ 3.8 <sup>bc</sup>	4.05 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>e</sup>	4,832.3 $\pm$ 455.99 <sup>def</sup>	13.52 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>abcd</sup>
GLY 12.5	85.28 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>abc</sup>	80.50 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>abc</sup>	28.16 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>abcd</sup>	42.37 $\pm$ 3.7 <sup>cd</sup>	5.42 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2,539.8 $\pm$ 244.75 <sup>ef</sup>	9.41 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>e</sup>
GLY 15	82.40 $\pm$ 1.7 <sup>bc</sup>	83.46 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>a</sup>	27.11 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>abcde</sup>	48.11 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>bc</sup>	4.22 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>de</sup>	5,252.7 $\pm$ 1,008.4 <sup>def</sup>	11.25 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>de</sup>
MG 5	83.80 $\pm$ 3.1 <sup>abc</sup>	80.00 $\pm$ 2.9 <sup>abc</sup>	31.30 $\pm$ 2.9 <sup>a</sup>	52.33 $\pm$ 3.9 <sup>ab</sup>	4.62 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>bcd</sup>	7,557.7 $\pm$ 1,144.3 <sup>bcde</sup>	13.88 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>abcd</sup>
MG 7.5	84.06 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>abc</sup>	79.46 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>abcd</sup>	25.63 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>bcdef</sup>	46.35 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>bc</sup>	3.96 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>e</sup>	6,694.5 $\pm$ 1,218.2 <sup>cdef</sup>	16.38 $\pm$ 1.2 <sup>a</sup>
MG 10	85.07 $\pm$ 2.4 <sup>abc</sup>	79.21 $\pm$ 2.3 <sup>abcd</sup>	23.12 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>def</sup>	45.91 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>bc</sup>	4.39 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>cde</sup>	3,414.0 $\pm$ 308.84 <sup>ef</sup>	13.60 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>abcd</sup>
MG 12.5	82.26 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>bc</sup>	82.60 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>ab</sup>	22.64 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>def</sup>	45.02 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>bc</sup>	4.82 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>bc</sup>	9,464.4 $\pm$ 1605.1 <sup>abcd</sup>	16.89 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>a</sup>
MG 15	83.06 $\pm$ 3.2 <sup>abc</sup>	75.50 $\pm$ 3.1 <sup>cdef</sup>	26.09 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>abcdef</sup>	44.44 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>bc</sup>	4.31 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>de</sup>	13,812 $\pm$ 4,186.8 <sup>a</sup>	14.52 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>abcd</sup>

Abbreviations: CD, cellular disruption; DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; DNA, DNA fragmentation index; GLY, glycerol; LPO, lipid peroxidation; MIT, Mitochondrial functionality; MFU, membrane functionality; MG, methyl glycol; MFL, fluidity membrane; and ROS, concentration of reactive oxygen species. Different letters indicate differences ( $p < .05$ ).

test. The concentrations of the cryoprotectants were considered the independent variables while other variables (namely, total motility, progressive motility, DAP, DCL, DSL, VAP, STR, LIN, WOB, ALH, BCF, mitochondrial functionality, membrane functionality, cell disruption, membrane fluidity, DNA fragmentation and ROS eLPO) were considered dependent variables. Statistix<sup>®</sup> V9.0 software (Statistix, 2008) was used to perform all analyses with a  $p$ -value of  $<.05$  considered for statistical significance.

### 3 | RESULTS

MG demonstrated the best sperm kinetic readings in cryopreserved samples at a concentration of 7.5% ( $p < .05$ ), with a progressive motility (MP) value of  $9.62 \pm 0.92\%$  (Table 1). Numerous kinetic variables analysed (MT, MP, DAP, DCL, STR, ALH, BCF, VAP, VCL, straightness, LIN and WOB) revealed that concentrations of 7.5% and 10% MG provided the most desirable motility for thawed spermatozoa ( $p < .05$ ; Table 1). The concentrations of DMSO at 7.5% and of glycerol at 10%, 12.5% and 15% demonstrated the least favourable sperm kinetic measurements (Table 1). Likewise, the 7.5% MG concentration was sufficient in terms of flow cytometric parameters like mitochondrial functionality, membrane functionality, cellular integrity, DNA integrity and levels of ROS (Table 2). The 12.5% DMSO concentration was the least effective treatment for most of the analysed parameters (Table 2) while 7.5% MG treatment showed less ROS production than the same concentration of glycerol ( $p < .05$ ; Table 3).

All three cryoprotectants at the concentrations of 5% and 10% showed lesser cytoplasmic membrane fluidity with well-preserved membrane permeability ( $p < .05$ ; Table 3). When the membrane functionality of the spermatozoa was evaluated, treatment with 10% and 12.5% MG resulted in greater functionality as compared with DMSO at the same concentrations, and 10% glycerol ( $p > .05$ ; Table 3).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first investigation testing a protocol using penetrative cryoprotectants for sperm cryopreservation in annual fish. All treatments with MG were found to be efficient in preserving almost all parameters related to sperm motility, which is a fundamental property for the reproductive success of the species (Cabrita et al., 2010; Pereira et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2019). In fact, MG treatments at a 7.5% concentration showed the highest magnitude of positive results in terms of progressive motility, presenting up to three times better values than the other cryoprotectants, namely, DMSO and glycerol. Additionally, 7.5% MG treatment presented almost twofold higher values for average distance travelled (DAP) and average path velocity (VAP) than the other cryoprotectants. These findings are congruent with the reports of Ribeiro et al. (2019) for *Suruvi (Steindachneridion scriptum)*, showing the efficacy of MG with BTS.

The overall efficacy of MG was further reinforced by the flow cytometric analysis for the parameters of sperm motility. The lower levels of DNA fragmentation and lipid peroxidation rates were also



associated with the 7.5% MG concentration. The expression levels for ROS were low across almost all treatments, which are significant given the fact that the low ROS production decreases the chances of membrane damage and consequently offers better membrane fluidity as well as mitochondrial functionality (Silva et al., 2016). Overall, most of the treatments performed similarly in terms of mitochondrial and membrane functionality, rates of cellular disruption and membrane fluidity.

Efficient cryopreservation of fish semen using MG was demonstrated in Piracanjuba (Maria, Viveiros, Freitas, & Oliveira, 2006). Derived from methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) and ethylene oxide (CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>; Viveiros, Oliveira, Maria, Orfão, & Souza, 2009), MG is considered a non-toxic alcohol (Pinheiro et al., 2016; Takagi, Otoi, & Suzuki, 1993). This explains its popularity as a favourite cryoprotectant in comparison to other alcohols like DMSO (Viveiros et al., 2011). Indeed, while comparing DMSO and MG at 10% concentration as cryoprotectants, better sperm motility results were reported for *Brycon insignis* with MG (77%–82%) as compared to DMSO (23%–46%). MG in concert with BTS also showed high-quality cryopreservation of semen in *Brycon nattereri* (Viveiros et al., 2012). Pinheiro et al. (2016), in a study using four different freezing media, observed that the MG-containing medium with glucose shows higher motility (70%–76%) as compared to MG and BTS-containing medium.

DMSO showed the highest sperm motility (66%) with the medium containing BTS + MG. This could possibly be attributed to the 80% glucose content in BTS that makes it a popular ingredient in cryopreservation protocols. In Suruvi (*Steindachneridion scriptum*), over 40% sperm motility was observed in samples frozen using BTS + MG (Pereira et al., 2019), and significant results were also reported in the same species using MG (Ribeiro et al., 2019).

DMSO is a common reagent in many bioassays at up to 10% v/v concentrations with no toxic effects (Galvão et al., 2014; Kais et al., 2013). DMSO as a cryoprotectant at 5%–15% concentrations in freshwater fish (Viveiros & Godinho, 2009) is considered one of the best cryoprotectants due to its efflux capacity and a less temperature-dependent process of cellular inflow than other cryoprotectants (Dziewulska & Domagała, 2013; Huang et al., 2018). In the present study, an intermediate 10% DMSO concentration performed well for almost all kinetic parameters with a lower efficacy profile at lesser concentrations. However, further investigations are required for the toxic effects of DMSO on fish in conditions of high concentrations or increased exposure time (Bozkurt, Yavaş, Bucak, & Yeni, 2019; Jang et al., 2017; Wakchaure et al., 2015).

In this study, glycerol presented the lowest values for all kinetic parameters evaluated for cryopreservation of *A. minuano* semen. The 7.5% glycerol concentration was shown to be the least toxic, with the highest kinetic parameter values, while 15% was more toxic. These findings are similar to a recent study by Bozkurt et al. (2019), where 10% glycerol presented better results as compared to methanol and DMSO. The limited efficacy of glycerol may be due to a toxic effect on the sperm cell. The presence of protein denaturation and changes in actin interactions within the spermatozoa tail may interfere with motility and, consequently, adversely affect

fertilization (Shaliutina, Hulak, Gazo, Linhartova, & Linhart, 2013; Viveiros et al., 2011). Similar results were obtained for equine semen (Pace & Sullivan, 1975) and in Indian red jungle fowl (Blesbois et al., 2007; Rakha et al., 2016, 2017).

In different studies, similar results have been found on cryopreservation of semen with different cryoprotectants. We observed that for *A. minuano*, the cryopreservation presents a desirable level of motility. In other words, although low, the obtained levels of different parameters are significantly relevant when considering a species that is threatened.

In conclusion, we report the effective use of cryoprotectants for the cryopreservation of sperm from the annual fish *A. minuano*. Glycerol is recommended to be avoided for this purpose owing to its high sensitivity towards sperm. Further, our results show that the combination of BTS and 7.5% MG offers optimal results for both sperm kinetic and for cellular integrity and biochemistry parameters. We, therefore, suggest further investigations using different cryoprotectants with varying combinations of cryoprotectants of different molecular weights towards the goal of establishing a standardized cryopreservation protocol for this species.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS). We would like to acknowledge the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Ensino Superior. C.D. Corcini (Process 306356/2014-7) and A.S. Varela Junior (Process 307195/2015-7) are research fellows at the Brazilian Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). We thank the Fundação Grupo Boticário de Proteção à Natureza (Project 1090\_20171) for funding the study and to Matheus Volcan for all support. We also inform that all collections were authorized by the Brazilian Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA), in the form of the Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade (SISBIO) (process number 55651-1). We got approval by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Rio Grande (case number 23116.008163/2015-23). And finally, we would like to thank the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) - Financial Code 001.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None to declare.

## ORCID

Murilo de Oliveira Fernandes  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2030-4119>

Daiana Kaster Garcez  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4526-9647>

Carine Dahl Corcini  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5683-7801>

Lizandra Jaqueline Robe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8506-9143>

Antonio Sergio Varela Junior  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4901-5118>

## REFERENCES

- Ahn, J. Y., Park, J. Y., & Lim, H. K. (2018). Effects of different diluents, cryoprotective agents, and freezing rates on sperm cryopreservation in *Epinephelus akaara*. *Cryobiology*, 83, 60–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2018.06.003>
- Alves, J. P., Corcini, C. D., Silva, E. F., Caldas, J. S., Cardoso, T. F., Piedras, S. R. N., ... Varela, A. S. (2016). The role of amides in seminal cryopreservation of wild silverside, *Odontesthes bonariensis*. *Cryobiology*, 73(3), 383–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2016.09.001>
- Beheregaray, L., Attard, C., Brauer, C., & Hammer, M. (2016). Innovations in conservation: How genetics can help save freshwater fishes. *Wildlife Australia*, 53(3), 34–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1998.tb01039>
- Blesbois, E., Seigneurin, F., Grasseau, I., Limouzin, C., Besnard, J., Gourichon, D., ... Tixier-Boichard, M. (2007). Semen cryopreservation for ex situ management of genetic diversity in chicken: Creation of the French Avian Cryobank. *Poultry Science*, 86(3), 555–564. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/86.3.555>
- Bonislawska, M., Szulc, J., & Formicki, K. (2015). The effect of water salinity on the motility of spermatozoa of the brook trout, *Salvelinus fontinalis* (Actinopterygii: Salmoniformes: Salmonidae). *Acta Ichth. Yolia Piscatoria*, 45, 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2015.45.2.04>
- Bozkurt, Y., Yavaş, I., Bucak, M. N., & Yeni, D. (2019). Effect of different cryoprotectants (glycerol, methanol and dimethyl sulfoxide) on post-thaw quality, viability, fertilization ability and DNA damage of cryopreserved Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Spermatozoa. *Cryo Letters*, 40(1), 11–17.
- Cabrita, E., Sarasquete, C., Martínez-Páramo, S., Robles, V., Beirão, J., Pérez-Cerezales, S., & Herráez, M. P. (2010). Cryopreservation of fish sperm: Applications and perspectives. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 26(5), 623–635. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01556>
- Carneiro, P. C. F., Azevedo, H. C., Santos, J. P., & Maria, A. N. (2012). Cryopreservation of tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) semen: Extenders, cryoprotectants, dilution ratios and freezing methods. *Cryo Letters*, 33(5), 385–393.
- Costa, W. J. E. M. (2006). The South American annual killifish genus *Austrolebias* (Teleostei: Cyprinodontiformes: Rivulidae): Phylogenetic relationships, descriptive, morphology and taxonomic revision. *Zootaxa*, 12131–162. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1213.1.1>
- Costa, W. J. E. M., & Cheffe, M. M. (2001). Three new annual fishes of the genus *Austrolebias* from the Laguna dos Patos system, Southern Brazil, and a re-description of *A. adloffii* (Ahl) (Cyprinodontiformes: Rivulidae). *Comunicações do Museu de Ciência e Tecnologia, PUCRS, Série Zoologia, Porto Alegre*, 14(2), 179–200.
- Dominguez-Rebolledo, A. E., Martínez-Pastor, F., Bisbal, A. F., Ros-Santaella, J. L., García-Álvarez, O., Maroto-Morales, A., ... Fernández-Santos, M. R. (2011). Response of thawed epididymal red deer spermatozoa to increasing concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, and importance of individual male variability. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*, 46(3), 393–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2010.01677>
- Dziewulska, K., & Domagała, J. (2013). Spermatozoa concentration influences cryopreservation success in sea trout (*Salmo trutta m. trutta* L.). *Theriogenology*, 80(6), 659–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2013.06.013>
- Dziewulska, K., Rzemieniecki, A., Czerniawski, R., & Domagała, J. (2011). Post-thawed motility and fertility from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) sperm frozen with four cryodiluents in straws or pellets. *Theriogenology*, 76(2), 300–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2011.02.007>
- Fernández-Gago, R., Domínguez, J. C., & Martínez-Pastor, F. (2013). Seminal plasma applied post-thawing affects boar sperm physiology: A flow cytometry study. *Theriogenology*, 80(4), 400–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2013.05.003>
- Figuerola, E., Merino, O., Risopatrón, J., Isachenko, V., Sánchez, R., Effer, B., ... Valdebenito, I. (2015). Effect of seminal plasma on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) sperm vitrification. *Theriogenology*, 83(2), 238–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2014.09.015>
- Galvão, J. M., Davis, B. S., Tilley, M. G., Normando, E., Duchon, M. R., & Cordeiro, M. F. (2014). Unexpected low-dose toxicity of the universal solvent DMSO. *FASEB Journal*, 28(3), 1317–1330. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.13-235440>
- García, R. R., Vasconcelos, A. C., Povh, J. A., Oberst, E. R., Varela, A. S., Corcini, C. D., & Streit, D. P. (2015). Functional integrity of *Colossoma macropomum* (Cuvier, 1816) sperm cryopreserved with enriched extender solutions. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 13(3), 599–606. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20140142>
- Hagedorn, M., McCarthy, M., Carter, V. L., & Meyers, S. A. (2012). Oxidative stress in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) sperm. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6), 2–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039397>
- Huang, Y., Cartlidge, R. E., Walpitagama, M., Kaslin, J., Campana, O., & Wlodkowic, D. (2018). Unsuitable use of DMSO for assessing behavioral endpoints in aquatic model species. *Science of the Total Environment*, 615, 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.260>
- ICMBio. (2012). *Plano de Ação Nacional para a Conservação dos Peixes Rivulídeos Ameaçados de Extinção*. Retrieved from <http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/faunabrasileira/plano-de-acao-nacional-lista/2833-plano-de-acao-nacional-para-a-conservacao-dos-rivulideos>
- IUCN Red Data Book. (2018). *Brazil Red Book of Threatened Species of Fauna*. Brasília, Brazil: Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade - ICMBio (pp. 495–497).
- Jang, T. H., Park, S. C., Yang, J. H., Kim, J. Y., Seok, J. H., Park, U. S., ... Han, J. (2017). Cryopreservation and its clinical applications. *Integrative Medicine Research*, 6(1), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.2016.12.001>
- Jenkins, J. A., Draugelis-Dale, R. O., Pinkney, A. E., Iwanowicz, L. R., & Blazer, V. S. (2015). Flow cytometric method for measuring chromatin fragmentation in fixed sperm from yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). *Theriogenology*, 83(5), 920–931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2014.11.028>
- Kais, B. M., Schneider, K. J., Keiter, S., Henn, K., Ackermann, C., & Braunbeck, T. (2013). DMSO modifies the permeability of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) chorion-implications for the fish embryo test (FET). *Aquatic Toxicology*, 140–141, 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.05.022>
- Liu, Q., Wang, X., Wang, W., Zhang, X., Xu, S., Ma, D., ... Li, J. (2015). Effect of the addition of six antioxidants on sperm motility, membrane integrity and mitochondrial function in red seabream (*Pagrus major*) sperm cryopreservation. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 41, 413–422. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-014-9993-9>
- Machado, L. C., Oliveira, V. C., Paraventi, M. D., Cardoso, R. N. R., Martins, D. S., & Ambrósio, C. E. (2016). Maintenance of Brazilian Biodiversity by germplasm bank. *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*, 36(1), 62–66. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-736X2016000100010>
- Maria, A. N., Viveiros, A. T. M., Freitas, R. T. F., & Oliveira, A. V. (2006). Extenders and cryoprotectants for cooling and freezing of piracanjuba (*Brycon orbignyanus*) semen, an endangered Brazilian teleost fish. *Aquaculture*, 260(1–4), 298–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.06.011>
- Martinez-Alborcia, M. J., Valverde, A., Parrilla, I., Vazquez, J. M., Martinez, E. A., & Roca, J. (2012). Detrimental effects of non-functional spermatozoa on the freezability of functional spermatozoa from boar ejaculate. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), e36550. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036550>
- Miliorini, A. B., Murgas, L. D. S., Rosa, P. V., Oberlender, G., Pereira, G. J. M., & Costa, D. V. (2011). A morphological classification proposal for curimba (*Prochilodus lineatus*) sperm damages after

- cryopreservation. *Aquaculture Research*, 42, 177–187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02575>
- Pace, M. M., & Sullivan, J. J. (1975). Effect of timing of insemination, numbers of spermatozoa and extender components on the pregnancy rate in mares inseminated with frozen stallion semen. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility. Supplement*, 23, 115–121.
- Pereira, J. R., Pereira, F. A., Perry, C. T., Pires, D. M., Muelbert, J. R. E., Garcia, J. R. E., ... Varela, A. S. (2019). Dimethylsulfoxide, methanol and methylglycol in the seminal cryopreservation of Suruvi, *Steindachneridion scriptum*. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 200, 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2018.09.024>
- Perry, C. T., Corcine, C. D., Ancuti, A. N., Otte, M. V., Soares, S. L., Garcia, J. R. E., ... Varela, A. S. (2019). Amides as cryoprotectants for the freezing of *Bryconorbignyanus* sperm. *Aquaculture*, 508, 90–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.03.015>
- Pinheiro, J. P. S., Melo-Maciel, M. A. P., Linhares, F. R. A., Lopes, J. T., Almeida-Monteiro, P. S., Pinheiro, R. R. R., & Salmito-Vanderley, C. S. B. (2016). Use of glucose or BTS™ combined with DMSO or methylglycol under two different freezing protocols for the cryopreservation of sperm from the common curimatã (*Prochilodus brevis*). *Animal Reproduction*, 13(4), 779–786. <https://doi.org/10.21451/1984-3143-AR782>
- Pires, D. M., Corcini, C. D., Silva, A. C., Gheller, S. M. M., Pereira, F. A., Pereira, J. R., ... Varela, A. S. (2018). Association between DMSO and sugars in the sperm cryopreservation of Pacu. *Cryoletters*, 39, 121–130.
- Rakha, B. A., Ansari, M. S., Akhter, S., Zafar, Z., Hussain, I., Santiago-Moreno, J., & Blesbois, E. (2016). Cryopreservation of Indian red jungle fowl (*Gallus gallus murghi*) semen with polyvinylpyrrolidone. *Cryobiology*, 78, 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2017.07.006>
- Rakha, B. A., Ansari, M. S., Akhter, S., Zafar, Z., Naseer, A., Hussain, I., ... Blesbois, E. (2017). Dimethylacetamide improves the cryosurvivability of Indian red jungle fowl (*Gallus gallus murghi*) sperm. *Theriogenology*, 103, 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogeno.2017.07.018>
- Ramirez-Merlano, J. A., Velasco-Santamaría, Y. M., Medina-Robles, V. M., & Cruz-Casallas, P. E. (2011). Cryopreservation effects on the sperm quality of cachama blanca *Piaractus brachypomus* (Cuvier 1818). *Aquaculture Research*, 42, 738–745. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.02835>
- Ribeiro, J., Alves, F., Trindade, C., Martins, D., Ramon, J., Muelbert, E., ... Junior, V. (2019). Dimethylsulfoxide, methanol and methylglycol in the seminal cryopreservation of Suruvi, *Steindachneridion scriptum*. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 200 (September 2018), 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2018.09.024>
- Shaliutina, A., Hulak, M., Gazo, I., Linhartova, P., & Linhart, O. (2013). Effect of short-term storage on quality parameters, DNA integrity, and oxidative stress in Russian (*Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*) and Siberian (*Acipenser baerii*) sturgeon sperm. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 139(1–4), 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2013.03.006>
- Silva, J. C., Varela Junior, A. S., Caldas, J. S., da Silva Freitas, C., Botelho, J. G., Colares, E. P., & Corcini, C. D. (2016). The effects of osmolality on sperm quality in *Jenynsia multidentata* (Cyprinodontiformes: Anablepidae). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 42(1), 93–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-015-0120-3>
- Takagi, M., Otoi, T., & Suzuki, T. (1993). Survival rate of frozen-thawed bovine IVM/IVF embryos in relation to post-thaw exposure time in two cryoprotectants. *Cryobiology*, 30(5), 466–469. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cryo.1993.1047>
- Varela Junior, A. S., Corcini, C. D., Streit, D. P. Jr, Rizzoto, G., Jardim, R. D., Lucia, T. Jr, & Figueiredo, M. R. C. (2012). Efeito do crioprotetor de diferentes concentrações do Dimetilsulfóxido no congelamento de sêmen de Tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*). *Atlântica*, 34(2), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.5088/atl.2012.34.2.129>
- Varela Junior, A. S., Goularte, K. L., Alves, J. P., Pereira, F. A., Silva, E. F., Cardoso, T. F., ... Corcini, C. D. (2015). Methods of cryopreservation of Tambaqui semen, *Colossoma macropomum*. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 157, 71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2015.03.017>
- Viveiros, A. T. M., Amaral, T. B., Orfão, L. H., Isaú, Z. A., Caneppele, D., & Leal, M. C. (2011). Sperm cryopreservation of tiete tetra *Brycon insignis* (Characiformes): Effects of cryoprotectants, extenders, thawing temperatures and activating agents on motility features. *Aquaculture Research*, 42(6), 858–865. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02761>
- Viveiros, A. T. M., & Godinho, H. P. (2009). Sperm quality and cryopreservation of Brazilian freshwater fish species: A review. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 35(1), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-008-9240-3>
- Viveiros, A. T. M., Maria, A. N., Amaral, T. B., Orfão, L. H., Isau, Z. A., & Veríssimo-Silveira, R. (2012). Spermatozoon ultrastructure and sperm cryopreservation of the Brazilian dry season spawner fish pirapitinga, *Brycon nattereri*. *Aquaculture Research*, 43(4), 546–555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.02860>
- Viveiros, A. T. M., Nascimento, A. F., Leal, M. C., Gonçalves, A. C. S., Orfão, L. H., & Cosson, J. (2014). Methyl glycol, methanol and DMSO effects on post-thaw motility, velocities, membrane integrity and mitochondrial function of *Brycon orbignyanus* and *Prochilodus lineatus* (Characiformes) sperm. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 41(1), 193–201. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-014-0016-7>
- Viveiros, A. T. M., Oliveira, A. V., Maria, A. N., Orfão, L. H., & Souza, J. C. (2009). Sensibilidade dos espermatozoides de dourado (*Salminus brasiliensis*) a diferentes soluções crioprotetoras. *Arquivo Brasileiro De Medicina Veterinária E Zootecnia*, 61(4), 883–889. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352009000400016>
- Volcan, M. V., Fonseca, A. P., & Robaldo, R. B. (2011). Reproduction of the threatened Annual Killifish *Austrolebias nigrofasciatus* (Cyprinodontiformes: Rivulidae), confined in a natural environment. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*, 3(6), 1864–1867. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o2575.1864-7>
- Wakchaure, J., Ganguly, S., Sharma, S., Praveen, P. K., Sharma, M., & Mahajan, T. (2015). A review on cryopreservation of embryos and its research implications in animal breeding and reproduction. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biomedical Research*, 2, 11–16.

**How to cite this article:** Fernandes MDO, Garcez DK, Acosta IB, et al. Cryopreservation of sperm in annual fish *Austrolebias minuano* (Cyprinodontiformes; Rivulidae). *Aquac Res*. 2019;00:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.14356>